6:19-cv-01567-JD Date Filed 01/17/23 Entry Number 256-8 Page 1 of 19

EXHIBIT 7

```
Page 1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
2
                 GREENVILLE DIVISION
3
    EDEN ROGERS AND BRANDY WELCH,
                Plaintiffs,
4
            vs. C/A No. 6:19-cv-01567-JD
5
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH &
    HUMAN SERVICES; XAVIER BECERA, IN HIS
6
    OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SECRETARY OF THE
7
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH &
    HUMAN SERVICES; ADMINISTRATION FOR
8
    CHILDREN AND FAMILIES; JOOYEUN CHANG, IN
    HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE SENIOR
    OFFICIAL PERFORMING THE DUTIES OF THE
    ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE
10
    ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND
    FAMILIES; JOOYEUN CHANG, IN HER OFFICIAL
11
    CAPACITY AS PRINCIPAL
    DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE
    ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND
12
    FAMILIES; HENRY MCMASTER, IN HIS
13
    OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR OF THE
    STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; AND MICHAEL
14
    LEACH, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS STATE
    DIRECTOR OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA
    DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,
15
                 Defendants.
16
17
18
    VTC 30(b)(6)
                      SC DSS, Through its agent:
    DEPOSITION OF:
                      DAWN BARTON
19
    DATE:
                      December 17, 2021
20
                      9:33 a.m.
    TIME:
    LOCATION:
                      Zoom - Columbia, SC
21
22
                      Counsel for the Plaintiffs
    TAKEN BY:
    REPORTED BY: Roxanne Easterwood, RPR VIDEOGRAPHER: Roosevelt Hamilton
23
24
25
```

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:
3	Cravath Swaine & Moore
	By: Rebecca Schindel
4	Serena Candelaria
	(Appearing by VTC)
5	825 Eighth Avenue, Suite 4043B
	New York, NY 10019
6	rschindel@cravath.com
	scandelaria@cravath.com
7	(212) 474-1989
8	
	Lambda Legal
9	By: Currey Cook
	Maia Zelkind
10	(Appearing by VTC)
	120 Wall Street, Floor 19
11	New York, NY 10005
	${\tt ccook@lambdalegal.org}$
12	$\verb mzelkind@lamddalegal.org $
	(212) 809-8585
13	
14	American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)
	By: Leslie Cooper
15	(Appearing by VTC)
	125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
16	New York, NY 10004
	lcooper@aclu.org
17	
18	
	ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
19	HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL.:
20	United States Attorney's Office South
	Carolina
21	By: Marshall Prince
	(Appearing by VTC)
22	1441 Main Street, Suite 500
	Columbia, SC 29201
23	marshall.prince@usdoj.gov
0.5	(803) 929-3000
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	ATTORNEYS FOR HENRY MCMASTER, IN HIS
	OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS GOVERNOR OF THE
2	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; and MICHAEL
	LEACH, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS
3	STATE DIRECTOR OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA
	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES:
4	
	Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough
5	By: Miles Coleman
	(Appearing by VTC)
6	2 W Washington Street, Suite 400
	Greenville, SC 29601
7	miles.coleman@nelsonmullins.com
	(864) 373-2300
8	
9	
10	(INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

No, I don't think we're concerned about that, because I think that families have a variety of choices of pathways for all -- for different organizations that -- that are the pathway to support them towards the licensure process.

BY MS. SCHINDEL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Is DSS aware of -- of families that Q. have been discriminated against by CPAs based on sexual orientation or faith?
- We're aware of each organization -- of Α. organizations' criteria in which they lay out within their -- their organizations of the kinds of families that they want to work with, but I --I'm not -- other than this particular case, I'm not -- I'm not aware of anyone.
- Are CPAs that turn away families based 0. on faith or sexual orientation required to tell DSS that they have done so, that they have turned away families who applied, on those -- based on those criteria?
- I'm not -- I'm not aware of a mechanism in which that's reported back to us, unless -- unless -- unless the family themselves contact our state office and make us aware.
 - Q. So does -- DSS doesn't require CPAs to

Page 116 1 notify DSS when they turn away families based on religion or sexual orientation so that DSS -- DSS 2 3 could follow up with those families? 4 Α. No. 5 Is allowing CPAs to exclude families 0. 6 based on religious criteria consistent with best 7 practices in the field of child welfare? 8 Can you repeat the question? Α. 9 Ο. Is allowing CPAs to exclude families 10 based on religious criteria consistent with best 11 practices in the field of child welfare? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Why not? 14 Again, we -- we don't -- we don't --Α. 15 we don't believe in discrimination. That's not --16 that's not a part of -- that's not a part of -- of 17 what -- of what we do in -- in the -- in the 18 licensing process. 19 Our -- our regulations, our policies 20 specifically -- specifically say that -- you know, 21 around the licensing piece related to this matter, 22 that we -- we will -- we, the agency, will not 23 discriminate. 24 And so it sounds like DSS itself will Q. 25 not discriminate on the basis of religion or

aware of the screening criteria implemented by the CPAs in South Carolina?

A. Yes.

- Q. And DSS tracks that information?
- A. Again, I think -- I think your -- your track is -- is -- is throwing me. We're aware of the CP- -- of each individual CPA's criteria, but -- but as far as -- I don't know what you mean by tracking that.
- Q. And you're aware of each individual CPA criteria how? By -- by simply by looking at the CPA's website, or does DSS follow up with the CPAs or in some way ask CPAs to tell them what their screening criteria are?
- A. So that would be a part of -- of their submission when they become a CPA. That -- that would be part of information that -- that they provide to us as a child-placing agency, when they're issued -- when they're the child-placing agency license.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me on this list which CPAs that DSS knows accepts families regardless of sexual orientation or religion?
 - A. DSS would know all of them.
 - Q. And can you tell me which ones on the

- list DSS knows accepts families regardless of sexual orientation or religion?
- A. I can tell you the ones that -- that

 I, today, as the DSS representative know, which

 may not -- which may not be inclusive of all of -
 you know, of all of them on the list.
- Q. Yeah, I think -- I think you should go ahead and do that, because I do think that this is a topic that you were meant to be educated on. So I think you should -- I think you should go ahead and do that.
- A. So ask -- so can you ask the question again?
- Q. Yes. Which of these on this list does
 DSS know accepts families regardless of sexual
 orientation or religion?
- A. Okay. So it would be Alston Wilkes,
 Broadstep, CAPA, Family Preservation, Growing
 Homes Southeast, Crosswell, Justice Works -- which
 Justice Works, I wasn't aware they even had
 families. They -- they provide services. So I
 don't even know that that's related to this -- but
 New Foundations, SC Mentor, SC YAP, Specialized
 Alternative Youth. And those are the ones that
 I'm aware of.

Page 138 1 could that harm efforts to grow the pool of foster 2 families in Region 1? 3 I -- I would say, no, because we would Α. serve -- we would serve those families. 4 There --5 there's still an option for those families through 6 the department. 7 Since DSS changed its practice to Q. 8 handle just kinship applicants, you said that, and 9 you're saying now, that DSS would handle 10 non-kinship applicants if the family didn't want to work with a particular CPA; is that right? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Has DSS handled any non-kinship 14 applicants since the change in policy? 15 I -- I don't -- I don't know. I would Α. 16 have to look at -- at each region to make that 17 determination, if -- if we've actually accepted. 18 It's been very few, if -- if any. 19 MS. SCHINDEL: Okay. Well, this is 20 definitely Topic 5. So this -- this is 21 information we absolutely will need to get from 22 DSS, which is whether DSS has handled any non- --23 non-kinship applicants since the change in 24 practice or policy. And if so, how many.

BY MS. SCHINDEL:

- Q. Sitting here today, you're not aware of whether DSS has handled any non-kinship applicants since the change in practices or policies?
 - A. No.

- Q. Does Heartfelt Calling know that it can inform non-kin applicants that they can go directly to DSS if they prefer?
- A. It's strongly encouraged, yes, but they strongly encourage families to work with one of the child-placing agencies.
- Q. And how does Heartfelt Calling know that it can inform that? Has DSS told Heartfelt Calling that they can tell non-kin applicants to go directly to DSS?
- A. They consult with -- they -- they consult with our -- our director of child welfare licensing on any -- any individuals that are -- are not feeling like they -- they have -- there is a good match between them and the CPA.
- Q. Sorry, it sounds like the answer is, yes, DSS tells Heartfelt Calling that they can tell non-kin applicants to go directly to DSS, or is the answer, no, DSS does not relay that information?

- A. The answer is -- is yes, and Heartfelt Calling actually reaches out for DSS to consult on those applicants that would like to come to DSS, as opposed to a CPA.
- Q. Do local DSS offices know they can handle non-kin applicants?
- A. Yes, on a case -- on a -- in a -- on a very situational basis. So if you do have families that we -- like we just spoke of, they're -- they're consulted, but they're not -- they don't -- they don't take applications at the regional offices for non-kin families. So the pathway through that would be Heartfelt Calling to our -- our state office, and then it feeds down into the region.
- Q. So, as we just discussed, Heartfelt Calling's website did not necessarily let individuals know which agencies accept people of a particular faith or of a sexual orientation, but if somebody called Heartfelt Calling, does Heartfelt Calling provide that information?
- A. I don't know if they provide that information to families or not. They -- it's my understanding that Heartfelt Calling directs them direct to the website or to the -- to the -- the

Page 155 1 could be higher, based on other agencies; is that 2 right? 3 Yes. I think that's reasonable. Α. And -- and DSS -- am I right in saying 4 Ο. 5 that DSS would not know if more than 100 families 6 had been turned away based on religious criteria? 7 MR. COLEMAN: Object to the form of the 8 question. 9 But you can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: We would only know, 11 again, for those applicants that applied through 12 Heartfelt Calling over the last -- well, since, 13 like, last July, when we transitioned all of that 14 non-kin work, but prior to that time, we -- if 15 they were going directly to those -- those 16 child-placing agencies and got turned away, we --17 we wouldn't -- we wouldn't -- we don't track that information. We wouldn't know. 18 19 BY MS. SCHINDEL: 20 Are prospective foster parents aware 0. 21 that they can apply directly through DSS for a 22 non-kinship care foster license? 23 MR. COLEMAN: Object to the form of the 24 question. 25 But you can answer, if you're able.

Page 159 1 Was it because DSS had determined that Ο. 2 Miracle Hill was violating DSS's 3 non-discrimination policy? MR. COLEMAN: Object to the form of the 4 5 question. 6 But you can answer. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 8 BY MS. SCHINDEL: 9 Ο. Is Miracle Hill -- if DSS were to 10 terminate Miracle Hill's license, does DSS have a 11 plan to ensure that there would not be a gap in 12 service? 13 A gap in service for the families? 14 Ο. For the -- for the families, that's 15 right. 16 We -- yes, we -- I mean, we would have 17 made sure that they are -- I mean, I can only tell 18 you what would have happened, is we would have 19 likely assumed supporting those families 20 ourselves. 21 So would DSS or other CPAs be able to 22 fill the gap in service that would have been 23 created if Miracle Hill's license had been 24 terminated? 25

MR. COLEMAN:

Object to the form of the

Page 160 1 question. 2 But you can answer. 3 So families -- I mean, so THE WITNESS: you can tran- -- you can transfer -- you know, 4 5 families can transfer to who they want to transfer 6 to, if they decide they want to -- that happens 7 sometimes. 8 Sometimes you have a -- a DSS family 9 that wants to do therapeutic foster care, and DSS, 10 we -- we don't support therapeutic foster care 11 parents at -- at the regional level. So they 12 would have to go to a -- they would have to go to 13 a therapeutic CPA. So they may leave -- leave our 14 regional folks and go to a therapeutic agency. 15 So I think families can -- could --16 could choose, should that -- if that would have happened. Families would have been, similar to 17 18 the Heartfelt Calling process, they could have --19 they had -- could have chosen to transition 20 their -- their home over to a different CPA for --21 for support. 22 BY MS. SCHINDEL: 23 So if Miracle Hill were to close, 0. 24 would you expect that families that were working 25 with Miracle Hill would just go to other CPAs and

Page 161 1 continue fostering? 2 MR. COLEMAN: Object to the form of the 3 question. 4 But you can answer. 5 THE WITNESS: I would hope so. 6 BY MS. SCHINDEL: 7 Do you have any basis to expect that Q. 8 that would not happen? 9 Α. No. 10 Are there other evangelical Christian 11 agencies that a family could work with in South 12 Carolina? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And in Region 1? 0. 15 Again, I would have to -- because I Α. 16 don't have that information today, I would -- I 17 would have to look at -- at the -- our breakdown of that to determine if there were other -- other 18 19 options for them. 20 Are there any agencies in Region 1 21 that are Jewish affiliated or Catholic affiliated 22 or Muslim affiliated or Hindu affiliated? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. But there are Jewish, Catholic, 25 Muslim, and Hindu -- or I should say, or Hindu

Page 219 1 express their views to you in support or against 2 of allowing CPAs to exclude families based on 3 religious criteria? 4 Α. No. 5 0. You've had no conversations with DSS 6 officials or staff about this issue? 7 Α. Not -- not about how they felt about 8 it. 9 Ο. Before the waiver went into effect, 10 did you consider it appropriate to implement a 11 policy of allowing CPAs to exclude families based 12 on religious requirements? 13 Α. Can you repeat that question? 14 Ο. Before the waiver did you, in your capacity as someone who sets the policy for DSS's 15 16 prospective foster care, think it would be 17 appropriate to implement a policy of allowing CPAs 18 to exclude families based on religious 19 requirements? 20 No, we did not consider implementing a Α. 21 policy. 22 Q. So was the -- the policy that allowed 23 CPAs to exclude families based on religious 24 requirements implemented only because the 25 governor's office intervened and told DSS to

Page 220 1 implement this type of policy? 2 Α. Yes. As one of the top foster care policy 3 makers here at DSS, would you permit CPAs to 4 5 exclude families based on religious criteria, if 6 the whole issue were up to you? 7 Object to the form of the MR. COLEMAN: 8 question, and ask -- I'll ask for clarification. 9 Is that -- are you asking her as 30(b)(6) or as an 10 individual? MS. SCHINDEL: Well, I think that's --11 12 that's pretty clearly in her individual capacity. MR. COLEMAN: You can -- you can answer 13 14 the question, as it -- sorry. Go ahead. 15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it? 16 sorry, go ahead. Can you repeat it? 17 BY MS. SCHINDEL: 18 Ο. As one -- sure. Sure. So -- well, 19 let me back up and ask you this part. 20 I understand that you have somebody 21 that you report to, but is it fair to say that you 22 are one of the top policy makers in the foster 23 care space at DSS? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. So in that role, and if it were up to

would -- you would not permit CPAs to exclude families based on religious criteria, why is that?

- A. Say that again.
- Q. I think the answers may be similar, but I just want to make sure that to the extent there are any differences.

Is -- is -- why when I asked you would you allow -- would you allow CPAs to exclude families based on religious criteria, and you said no, and I just wanted to follow up and ask, you know, why? Why is that your opinion, as the top policy -- one of the top policy makers at DSS?

A. I see. I see. You -- you're asking me -- and, again, I think it aligns with the same thing I responded to before, which is if it were me as the policy maker's sole decision, then I -- I -- I think that it -- it doesn't -- it would -- it doesn't align, if -- if you're practicing differently, but yet you're serving -- you're really -- you're really trying to support the same mission, then I think that, again, we say we're not -- we, DSS, the agency, that we're not going to discriminate against anything.

We -- we don't -- we don't care whether you're purple or green or you're single or

you live in a house or you live in an apartment, and as -- as long as you can care for and support and you meet all of those regulatory requirements and you want to sign up to help support our mission to temporally care for children, I think having everybody practicing the same way is -- is best.

Q. And do you think that that policy, you know, that explanation that you just provided, do you think it's best because it's best for the children in foster care?

MR. COLEMAN: And for the sake of the record, you're answering this in your individual capacity.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. So I -
I -- I don't know that it's best for the sake of

the children in foster care, but -- because I

think this -- while -- while, ultimately, I guess,

it might impact the children that are placed with

those families, and, I mean, if you think about

the -- the recruitment and sort of the initial

engagement of an applicant to a particular CPA or

our department, that's really what this is, right,

is -- is that -- that -- is that how does it -
who is going to work with the family towards